

The virus that doesn't exist: lies and consequences

by

Jon Rappoport

on

[Jon Rappoport](#)



Throughout this false pandemic, I've been listing and explaining the falling dominos:

The false claim that [a virus exists](#).

The development of a diagnostic test to detect the virus that doesn't exist.

Based on the test, the publishing of sky-high case numbers, all of which are obviously meaningless.

Based on the false case numbers, and absurd computer projections of cases and deaths to come, the imprisoning of people in their homes, the closure and destruction of businesses, the torpedoing of economies, and then...

The introduction of a highly destructive vaccine as the solution.

These are the consequences that flowed from the fake "discovery" of a new virus.

Lately, there has been a resurgence of interest in one or two documents I cited months ago. These documents reveal the hoax at the bottom of the test for a virus that doesn't exist. The documents, written by the builders of the test, admit an isolated specimen of the virus is NOT AVAILABLE. But they're going to devise a test for it anyway.

This is on the order of a doctor telling a patient, "I'm going to test you for Disease XQ."

The patient asks, "What is disease XQ?"

The doctor answers, "We have NO IDEA. But we want to find out whether you have it."

Let's go to the first Smoking Gun.

The CDC document is titled, ["CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus \(2019-nCoV\) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel."](#) It was originally published in February 2020, in the very early days of the "pandemic," and it's latest revision was published in July 2021 (rev: 07, 7/21).

Buried deep in the document, in a section titled, "Performance Characteristics," we have this: "Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV [SARS-CoV-2] are currently available, assays [diagnostic tests] designed for detection of the 2019-nCoV RNA were tested with characterized stocks of in vitro transcribed full length RNA..." (document page 40, pdf page 41)

The key phrase there is: "Since no quantified virus isolates of the 2019-nCoV [virus] are currently available..."

Every object that exists can be quantified, which is to say, measured. The use of the term "quantified" in that phrase means: the CDC has no virus, because it is unavailable. THE CDC HAS NO VIRUS.

One of the two most powerful public health agencies in the world can't obtain the virus from anywhere. Why? Obviously, because no one has it.

A further tip-off is the use of the word 'isolates.' This means NO ISOLATED VIRUS IS AVAILABLE.

Another way to put it: NO ONE HAS AN ISOLATED SPECIMEN OF THE COVID-19 VIRUS.

NO ONE HAS ISOLATED THE COVID-19 VIRUS.

THEREFORE, NO ONE HAS PROVED THAT IT EXISTS.

As if this were not a revelation to shock the world, the CDC goes on to say they are presenting a diagnostic PCR test, in that very paper I'm citing, to detect the virus-that-hasn't-been-isolated...and the test is looking for RNA which is PRESUMED to come from the virus that hasn't been proved to exist.

And using this test, the CDC and every other public health agency in the world would go on to count COVID cases and deaths...and governments instituted lockdowns and economic devastation using those case and death numbers as justification.

The pandemic is a fraud, down to the root of the poisonous tree.

And now, let's move on to a second key document. This one formed the basis for the first PCR test aimed at detecting the COVID virus all over the world.



READ WHAT THIS STUDY SAYS. These quotes should be engraved in stone above the entrance to a museum dedicated to the history of medical fraud.

"We aimed to develop and deploy robust diagnostic methodology [a test for a virus] for use in public health laboratory settings without having virus material available."

TRANSLATION: We want to develop a test to detect the new COVID virus without having the virus.

"Here we present a validated diagnostic workflow for 2019-nCoV [SARS-CoV-2] its design relying on close genetic relatedness of 2019-nCoV with [the older 2003] SARS coronavirus, making use of synthetic nucleic acid technology."

TRANSLATION: We HAVE developed a diagnostic test to detect the new COVID virus. We ASSUME this new virus exists and is closely related to an older coronavirus. We ASSUME we know HOW it is related. We ASSUME, because we don't have the new COVID virus. Therefore, all our assumptions are made out of nothing. Actually, we have no proof there is a new coronavirus.

"The workflow reliably detects 2019-nCoV, and further discriminates 2019-nCoV from [the older 2003] SARS-CoV."

TRANSLATION: Our new test to detect the new virus? We don't have the new virus. We've never observed it. We can't study it directly. There is no proof it exists. But we will create and use a test to detect it.

The study is titled, "[Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus \(2019-nCoV\) by real-time RT-PCR.](#)" [Euro Surveill. 2020 Jan;25(3):2000045. doi: 10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045.]

Those quotes from the study are astounding. A diagnostic test for the virus, but there is no virus. No standard against which to compare the reliability of the test.

The authors blithely assume they can somehow infer that the virus exists in the first place, without having an isolated specimen.

Then they assume they can understand the structure of the virus that isn't there.

The virus isn't there. It has NOT been isolated. It has NOT been separated out from other material. Therefore, it has not been observed and its existence has not been proved.

And yet, the test which these authors have developed is launched, all over the world, to detect that virus; to promote the unproven notion that there is a pandemic; to form the basis for counting COVID case numbers; and ultimately to justify all the lockdowns which have crashed the global economy and destroyed millions upon millions of lives.

A great deal of confusion has been created, because scientists talk about the "new virus" as if they understand its structure and genetic sequence. No. They've built a hypothetical structure, AS DATA. Nothing more. And then they gibber about what it means.

As far as what is actually going on in labs where researchers are making vast assumptions and proclamations; don't talk to me about science. Talk to me about liability and prison.

At the site, fluoridefreepeel.ca, you will find roughly a hundred FOIA requests to public health agencies. These requests are asking for records showing that SARS-CoV-2 has been isolated. The repetitive and routine reply is: "We have no records." Taken together, they paint a picture of egregious fraud.

Mainstream scientists will make two claims: one, we have isolated the virus; and two, it is not necessary to isolate the virus, because we've discovered its genetic sequence. Both claims are false.

From reading the arcane language surrounding claims of having sequenced the virus-there is a multi-layered scam composed of leaps of unwarranted assumptions. The researchers say they are using tools that allow them to closely approximate the structure of SARS-CoV-2, even though they don't have that virus in hand. This is absurd.

It's like saying: There is a new planet in the solar system. We don't know where it is or what it looks like. We don't know what processes are at work on this new planet. But we do know the moon is a very close approximation of the planet. Therefore, we can know everything we need to know about the new planet from our knowledge of the moon.

And a rabbit is spaceship. And there are condos for sale on Jupiter. And new element #267587, in the Periodic Table, which no one has ever seen, is almost an exact copy of Philadelphia Cream Cheese.

And now we come to a third document, which bulges with devastating admissions. It was issued by the CDC.

The release is titled, ["07/21/2021: Lab Alert: Changes to CDC RT-PCR for SARS-CoV-2 Testing."](#) It begins explosively:

"After December 31, 2021, CDC will withdraw the request to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) of the [CDC 2019-Novel Coronavirus \(2019-nCoV\) Real-Time RT-PCR Diagnostic Panel](#), the assay first introduced in February 2020 for detection of SARS-CoV-2 only. CDC is providing this advance notice for clinical laboratories to have adequate time to select and implement one of the many FDA-authorized alternatives."

Many people believe this means the CDC is giving up on the PCR test as a means of "detecting the virus." The CDC isn't saying that at all.

They're saying the PCR technology will continue to be used, but they're replacing what the test is looking FOR with a better "reference sample." A better marker. A better target. A better piece of RNA supposedly derived from SARS-CoV-2.

CDC/FDA are confessing there has been a PROBLEM with the PCR test which has been used to detect the virus, starting in February of 2020-right up to July 21, 2021.

In other words, the millions and millions of "COVID cases" based on the PCR test in use are all suspect. Actually, that statement is too generous. Every test result of every PCR test should be thrown out.

To confirm this, the CDC document links to an FDA release titled, ["SARS-CoV-2 Reference Panel Comparative Data."](#) Here is a killer quote:

"During the early months of the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, clinical specimens [of the virus] were not readily available to developers of IVDs [in vitro diagnostics, the PCR test] to detect SARS-CoV-2. Therefore, the FDA authorized IVDs [tests] based on available data from contrived samples generated from a range of SARS-CoV-2 material sources (for example, gene specific RNA, synthetic RNA, or whole genome viral RNA) for analytical and clinical performance evaluation. While validation using these contrived specimens provided a measure of confidence in test performance at the beginning of the pandemic, it is not feasible to precisely compare the performance of various tests that used contrived specimens because each test validated performance using samples derived from different gene specific, synthetic, or genomic nucleic acid sources."

Translation: We, at the CDC, did not have a specimen of the SARS-CoV-2 virus when we concocted the PCR test for SARS-CoV-2. Yes, and that's the test we've been using all along. So we CONTRIVED samples of 'the virus'. We fabricated. We lied. We made up [invented] synthetic gene sequences and we SAID these sequences HAD TO BE close to the sequence of SARS-CoV-2, without having

the faintest idea of what we were doing, because, again, we didn't have an actual specimen of the virus. We had no proof THERE WAS something called SARS-CoV-2.

This amazing FDA document goes to say the Agency has granted emergency approval to 59 different PCR tests since the beginning of the (fake) pandemic. 59. And, "...it is not feasible to precisely compare the performance of various tests that used contrived specimens because each test validated performance using samples derived from different gene specific, synthetic, or genomic nucleic acid sources."

Translation: Each of the 59 different PCR tests for SARS-CoV-2 told different lies and concocted different fabrications about the genetic makeup of the virus-the virus we didn't have. Obviously, then, these tests would give useless and meaningless results. It was all a fantasy.

BUT, don't worry, be happy, because NOW, the CDC and the FDA say, they really do have actual isolated virus samples of SARS-CoV-2 from patients; they have better targets for the PCR test, and labs should start gearing up for the new and improved tests.

In other words, they were lying THEN, but they're not lying NOW. They were "contriving," but now they're telling the truth.

If you believe that, I have Fountain of Youth water for sale, extracted from the lead-contaminated system of Flint, Michigan.

We KNOW they are lying now, because they continue to torture the meaning of the word "isolate." Here, once again, I report virology's version of "we possess isolated specimens of the virus":

They have [a soup they make](#) in their labs.

This soup contains human and monkey cells, toxic chemicals and drugs, and all sorts of other random genetic material. Because the cells start to die, the researchers ASSUME a bit of mucus from a patient they dropped in the soup is doing the killing, and THE VIRUS must be the killer agent in the mucus.

This assumption is entirely unwarranted. The drugs and chemicals could be doing the cell-killing, and the researchers are also starving the cells of vital nutrients, and that starvation could kill the cells.

There is no proof that SARS-CoV-2 is in the soup, or that it is doing the cell-killing, or that it exists.

Yet the researchers call cell-death "isolation of the virus."

To say this is a non-sequitur is a vast understatement. In their universe, "We assume, without proof, we have the virus buried in a soup in a dish in the lab" equals, "We've separated the virus from all surrounding material."

Virology equals "how to spread bullshit for a living and scare the world and lock it down and shoot it up with a devastating destructive vaccine." Other than that, it's perfect.