

Dangerous speech versus free speech; mobs of ignoramuses

by

Jon Rappoport

on

[Jon Rappoport](#)



"For if Men are to be precluded from offering their Sentiments on a matter, which may involve the most serious and alarming consequences, that can invite the consideration of Mankind, reason is of no use to us; the freedom of Speech may be taken away, and, dumb and silent we may be led, like sheep, to the Slaughter." George Washington, 1783

Many, many people believe that spreading COVID falsehoods can be so dangerous that censorship is absolutely necessary.

This view happens to be the central refuge of liars.



It turns out that big-time liars always want to censor their opponents. It's the only leg they have to stand on. In an atmosphere of free discourse, they would fall.

And of course, who decides what is false and what is true? Who hangs out that shingle and makes judgments that affect the lives of millions of people?

There is an astounding level of ignorance here: many people believe "the truth" should carry the day. Once it is established, there is no need to permit freedom of speech.

These people have a very low ceiling of understanding. They've never explored what freedom of speech is all about. They're citizens in name only.

"If someone says the vaccine is harmful, people will be dissuaded from taking it. That would be dangerous."

Putting aside the fact that the vaccine is a destroyer, free speech implies living with danger. The remedy is intelligence and knowledge. The only workable remedy is raising people's ability to consider all sorts of judgments, opinions, and conclusions, without being irrationally swayed to one side or another.

Otherwise, we have Fascists on parade. Mobs of clueless ignoramuses.

Once upon a time, there was a never-never land called Dolt with millions of traffic lights. A writer penned, "Always cross the street when you're facing a red light. Stay on the curb when the light is green."

Outrage followed. The writer was censored for dangerous speech.

The rulers and their followers were so impressed with this victory, they established a national task force to root out falsehoods of all kinds and censor them. Pursuing this path, the society turned into a police state. And the majority of people approved.

We're heading in that direction now.

"Strange it is that men should admit the validity of the arguments for free speech but object to their being 'pushed to an extreme,' not seeing that unless the reasons are good for an extreme case, they are not good for any case." John Stuart Mill, 1859

It's no surprise that modern civilization, intellectually based on a fool's version of "science," has built science as a new religion, with all the restrictions that organized religions have enforced.

After all, when the teachings of a man called Jesus were incorporated into a powerful Church, that Church set about censoring, imprisoning, torturing, and burning dissidents. As if Jesus would have approved.

Centuries later, people were shocked to learn this Church was rife with pedophile priests. I would be shocked to learn the Church isn't filled with pedophiles.

Many are the OTHER secret crimes the men in power today are committing, given that they're already relentlessly pushing a highly destructive vaccine into the arms of a billion people.

They HAVE TO demand censorship of dissidents.

So no, I'm not surprised that the press and social media and politicians are trying to censor COVID information which doesn't serve their purposes.

Consider this. A week ago, at a standard FDA conference to discuss recommending COVID boosters, several scientists testified that convincing data to support the additional shots were entirely lacking.

Two leading in-house FDA scientists had just resigned because they opposed the push for boosters. And finally, the FDA committee as a whole rejected the present need for boosters.

Yet the following day, the head of the NIH, and the White House itself, ignored the FDA and said that boosters were coming. Even the FDA was effectively censored.

There are thousands of scientists all over the world who strenuously oppose the official COVID narrative, and they can't even get a glance from editors of medical journals, when they submit papers.

Talking news heads are feeding the population fast-food COVID science-a manufactured product consisting of synthetic bullshit about cases, deaths, the virus, the vaccine. It's cardboard. And no dissenters allowed.

Since I stopped writing for mainstream and so-called alternative outlets in the early 1990s, I've gone my own way. As of this writing, I'm still here. My videos have been taken down. My site was hacked. We restored it. I'm still here.

I take freedom of speech seriously. No matter what.

Only low scum want to censor us. They make an absurd pretense of claiming WE'RE liars. But we don't want to censor THEM. That's called a clue.

Right now, as I write this, Australian men with balls, union construction workers, are staging an insurrection against their union bosses, who are colluding with corrupt politicians to enforce COVID restrictions and vaccine mandates. The workers are facing off against cops in the street. This is one of the biggest stories in the world.

The New York Times and the Washington Post and the news networks should be leading with it and covering breaking developments wall to wall. Instead, they're downplaying and hiding it. These news whores and their pimps are holding the line for Fascism.

I'll close, for now, with this story. Months ago, an "alternative journalist" approached me, urging me to stop saying the pandemic virus doesn't exist.

I sized up the complaint. It seemed to have several roots. One, I was "confusing people," who couldn't decide what to believe. Two, there were far more important COVID issues that needed to be explored. And three, that journalist was receiving emails citing my work and asking for clarification-and this was bothersome, especially when the emailers agreed with me.

The journalist off-handedly and blithely assumed I would obey and stop writing about the existence of the virus.

Of course, I reacted oppositely. I always do. I dig deeper and farther along the track I'm pursuing. In this case, I found and wrote about more evidence for the non-existence of the virus.

That issue happens to be CENTRAL and BASIC to the whole COVID story. And if writing about it confuses some people, that's what happens when free speech is still possible. There's nothing wrong with confusion. It's productive. It's supposed to be a prelude to more profound understanding.

I'm not running some sort of operation that seeks uniformity.

That journalist was trying to censor me by having me censor myself.

No dice.

"He who stifles free discussion, secretly doubts whether what he professes to believe is really true."
Wendell Phillips, 1870



Those fools who can only "opt for the truth" will never grasp the meaning of the 1st Amendment. They'll never see the freight train of Fascism coming.